

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,642	YABLOKO, LEONID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THU-NGUYET LE	2162	

All Participants:

(1) THU-NGUYET LE, Examiner.

(2) MICHAEL L. GREENBERG, Applicant's Representative.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE

Claims discussed:
1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 19-20.

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:00PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an amendment to place the application in condition for allowance by incorporating the limitations of claims 3-4 into claim 1. A similar amendments are also applied independent claim 7. Claim 7 and its dependent claims should be amended to overcome the 112 second paragraph problem because the means for producing/associating/allocating are not defined in the disclosure. The examiner will submit an examiner amendment as authorized by Applicant's representative.